

#### UNITE SOINS **■ CARDIOLOGIE** INTERVENTIONNELLE



## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

## Davos, Feb 2013

D Gras, MD, Nantes, France

## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

### • Background

- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach
- LV Endocardial Pacing: LVEP
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF



#### Source population data: OECD Units - Eucomed based on reports from major manufacturers \* Europe represents total of listed countries (N/A countries excluded)

(c) 2012 Eucomed. All rights reserved.

#### Left Ventricular Lead Position and Clinical Outcome in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial–Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (MADIT-CRT) Trial

Jagmeet P. Singh, MD, DPhil\*; Helmut U. Klein, MD\*; David T. Huang, MD; Sven Reek, MD; Malte Kuniss, MD; Aurelio Quesada, MD; Alon Barsheshet, MD; David Cannom, MD; Ilan Goldenberg, MD; Scott McNitt, MS; James P. Daubert, MD; Wojciech Zareba, MD; Arthur J. Moss, MD



# The Target Study



All Cause Mortality following CRT in the TARGET and Control Groups



Combined Endpoint of Death and Heart Failure Related Hospitalisation between the TARGET and Control Groups



Care HF	<b>Treatment Group</b>	<b>Control Group</b>		
Implantation	(n=404 attempts)	(n=65 attempts)		
1	349 (86.3)	58 (89.2)		
2	36 (8.9)	2 (3.1)		
3	5 (1.2)	0		
Total	390 (96.4)	60 (92.3)		

**CARE HF** 

MADIT CRT



# LV Lead Events frequency as percentage of total events over 12 months (164/1647 pts)





## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

- Background
- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach
- LV Endocardial Pacing: LVEP
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF

#### A randomized comparison of triple versus dual site ventricular stimulation in patients with congestive heart failure

Christophe Leclercq<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD, Fredrik Gadler<sup>2</sup>, MD, PhD, Wolfgang Kranig<sup>3</sup>, MD, Sue Ellery<sup>4</sup>, MD, Daniel Gras<sup>5</sup>, MD, Arnaud Lazarus<sup>6</sup>, MD, Jacques Clémenty<sup>7</sup>, MD, Eric Boulogne<sup>8</sup>, MSc, Jean-Claude Daubert<sup>1</sup>, MD, for the Triple Resynchronization In Paced Heart Failure Patients (TRIP-HF) study group



## Interest of Multisite LV Pacing



# Atrial based, Dual Site LV, RV Pacing

#### Clinical Trials

#### Addition of a Second LV Pacing Site in CRT Nonresponders Rationale and Design of the Multicenter Randomized V<sup>3</sup> Trial

PIERRE BORDACHAR, MD,<sup>1</sup> CHRISTINE ALONSO, MD,<sup>2</sup> FREDERIC ANSELME, MD,<sup>3</sup> SERGE BOVEDA, MD,<sup>4</sup> PASCAL DEFAYE, MD,<sup>5</sup> STEPHANE GARRIGUE, MD,<sup>6</sup> DANIEL GRAS, MD,<sup>7</sup> DIDIER KLUG, MD,<sup>8</sup> OLIVIER PIOT, MD,<sup>9</sup> NICOLAS SADOUL, MD,<sup>10</sup> AND CHRISTOPHE LECLERCQ, MD<sup>11</sup>



# Atrial based, Dual Site LV, RV Pacing (ongoing V3 Trial)



# **Interest of Additional LV Lead during CRT**



#### **Non-Traditional CRT:** Novel Implant Techniques Atrial based, Dual Site LV, RV P

#### • Goal:

To Improve Ventricular activation & CRT ImpactOngoing V3 Trial

Potential Difficulties

Subclavian Vein Occlusion
Y Adaptor & Electrical csqces
Higher Risks of PNS
CS Anatomical Limitations





## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

- Background
- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach
- LV Endocardial Pacing: LVEP
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF



Single Site vs. MSLV in Healthy Heart

# Subselection of Lateral Cardiac Vein during Quadripolar LV Lead Implant



# Quadripolar LV Lead in case of LSVC



#### **Non-Traditional CRT: Novel Implant Techniques** Benefits of Quadri vs Bipolar LV Lead

- Management of PN Stimulation
  Pacing Vector offering

  The Best Hemodynamics
  The Best Pacing Thresholds

  Lower Need for LV Lead Revision
- Similar Lead Implant procedure
- Simultaneous 4 P Pacing for a better CRT impact to be investigated





## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

- Background
- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach
- LV Endocardial Pacing: LVEP
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF

#### **Endocardial vs. Epicardial Biventricular Pacing.**



Europace 2009;11:v22-v28

AJC, 2001 88:858–862

#### **Endocardial vs Epicardial CRT provides:**

#### Better LV Filling and Systolic Performance

More Homogenous Resynchronization



## WISE-CRT: <u>Wireless</u> <u>Stimulation</u> <u>Endocardially</u> for <u>CRT</u>



Works with any PM or ICD

#### Simple co-implant

- Transvenous right side system
  - Wireless left side system



Figure 2 An example of clinically determined acoustic windows in 4 body positions (in red with the patient lying supine; in green with  $30^{\circ}$  right tilt; in yellow with  $30^{\circ}$  left tilt; in purple with  $30^{\circ}$  upright tilt) superimposed on the CT-determined acoustic window (in light blue with the patient lying supine and during end inspiration) on 3D reconstruction CT of the thorax. 3D = three-dimensional; CT = computed tomography.

### **TEE Evaluation before Transseptal Puncture**



# LV Endo Pacing in Non CRT Responder

#### LV Lead placement under TEE guidance



# LV Lead Postion post Implant, No Change in MR



#### LV Endo Pacing in Non CRT Responder







# LV endocardial Pacing during CRT



**AP View** 

LAO View

# PNS still hapens during LV endo Pacing !!



# Echo prior to Transseptal LV Lead implant





## **LV EF Echo Evaluation (Simson)**



#### Permanent LV Endocardial Pacing in Clinical Practice

Avantages	Disavantages		
Easier access to LV Ventricle	Transeptal Approach		
Better Hemodynamics	Embolic risk		
Faster Depolarization	X Ray exposure		
Faster Vent activation	Anticoagulation		
Low risk of PNS	Mitral Regurgitation		
Better short & long term PT	Lead Extraction ??		



### **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers**

- Background
- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach
- LV Endocardial Pacing: LVEP
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF

## Device-based Neuromodulation Therapy for HF Current Investigational Approaches SCS VNS BRS



Spinal Cord S (SCS): SCS gen abdomen or paras lead placed in dor between T1-T4

JC Lopshire and D

#### Vagal stimulation for the treatment of heart failure: a translational success story

Peter J Schwartz<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

inhibition of ca activity (ie, lowition the heart from sympathetic innulation home message i stimulates—as studies—the inbilateral also and sied to the cardiac-bound s synergistic effection a significant wa

#### Heart failure as an autonomic nervous system dysfunction

Takuya Kishi (MD, PhD)\*





Vagal stimulation therapy achieved a 73% reduction in a relative risk ratio of death.

Adapted from Li M, Circulation 2004; 109: 120 -124

#### **VNS in HF Canine High Rate Pacing Model**



Adapted from Zhang Y, Circ Heart Fail 2009; 2:692-699

## **VNS in HF Canine High Rate Pacing Model**

	Baseline		4-wk Pacing		8-wk Pacing	
	Control	VNS	Control	VNS	Control	VNS
RR, ms	510.7±77.0	514.5±61.5	394.8±36.7	428.8±55.7	407.1±47.2	451.0±76.1
SDNN, ms	84.2±21.7	86.6±21.8	23.2±5.9	36.6±5.1*	28.7±8.0	42.2±7.4*
RMSSD, ms	68.9±10.6	69.3±17.2	17.0±4.6	31.0±6.1*	22.1±5.3	37.2±7.1*
LF, norm	35.2±12.5	36.2±12.3	72.1±8.6	55.6±6.1*	65.3±10.3	53.2±9.6*
HF, norm	64.8±12.5	63.8±12.3	27.9±8.6	44.4±6.1*	34.7±10.3	46.8±9.6*
LF/HF	$0.70 \pm 0.33$	0.63±0.34	3.03±1.79	1.29±0.33*	2.23±1.46	$1.22 \pm 0.75$
	baroreflex sensitivity	(for the second	Iine 4-W p	Control VNS	, ing	

#### Chronic vagus nerve stimulation: a new and promising therapeutic approach for chronic heart failure

Gaetano M. De Ferrari<sup>1\*</sup>, Harry J.G.M. Crijns<sup>2</sup>, Martin Borggrefe<sup>3</sup>, Goran Milasinovic<sup>4</sup>, Jan Smid<sup>5</sup>, Markus Zabel<sup>4</sup>, Antonello Gavazzi<sup>7</sup>, Antonio Sanzo<sup>1</sup>, Robert Dennert<sup>3</sup>, Juergen Kuschyk<sup>4</sup>, Srdjan Raspopovic<sup>5</sup>, Helmut Klein<sup>6,8</sup>, Karl Swedberg<sup>9</sup>, and Peter J. Schwartz<sup>1,10,11,12,13</sup>, for the CardioFit Multicenter Trial Investigators



#### NECTAR-HF Study: Protocol Overview

#### • Study Design

- Single-blind, placebo controlled, randomized 2:1(therapy/control)
- Multicentre (European sites)
- Control patients crossed over to therapy at 6M follow-up & followed for safety through 18 months

#### • Sample Size

- 250 pts screened for eligibility
- 96 pts implanted with the system
- Patient Population:
  - NYHA class III HF pts
  - Ejection fraction of  $\leq 35\%$
  - Not CRT candidate,  $QRS \le 130 \text{ ms}$



## **CRT in Heart Failure: New Frontiers Summary**

- Increasing Consideration for CRT
- Dual-Site LV Pacing during CRT: The V3 Trial
- Quadripolar LV Pacing approach: MPP Study
- LV Endocardial Pacing: The AlSync Study
- Vagal Nerve stimulation in HF: Nectar Trial ...